

Supermassive binary black hole mergers

László Á. Gergely^{1,2,3*} and Peter L. Biermann^{4,5,6†}

¹Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Szeged, Tisza Lajos krt 84-86, Szeged 6720, Hungary

²Department of Experimental Physics, University of Szeged, Dóm tér 9, Szeged 6720, Hungary

³Department of Applied Science, London South Bank University, 103 Borough Road, London SE1 0AA, UK

⁴Max Planck Institute for Radioastronomy, Bonn, Germany

⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Bonn, Germany

⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA

E-mail: * gergely@physx.u-szeged.hu † plbiermann@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de

Abstract. When galaxies collide, dynamical friction drives their central supermassive black holes close enough to each other such that gravitational radiation becomes the leading dissipative effect. Gravitational radiation takes away energy, momentum and angular momentum from the compact binary, such that the black holes finally merge. In the process, the spin of the dominant black hole is reoriented. On observational level, the spins are directly related to the jets, which can be seen at radio frequencies. Images of the X-shaped radio galaxies together with evidence on the age of the jets illustrate that the jets are reoriented, a phenomenon known as spin-flip. Based on the galaxy luminosity statistics we argue here that the typical galaxy encounters involve mass ratios between 1 : 3 to 1 : 30 for the central black holes. Based on the spin-orbit precession and gravitational radiation we also argue that for this typical mass ratio in the inspiral phase of the merger the initially dominant orbital angular momentum will become smaller than the spin, which will be reoriented. We prove here that the spin-flip phenomenon typically occurs already in the inspiral phase, and as such is describable by post-Newtonian techniques.

1. Introduction

Einstein's general relativity is a rigorous theory of gravitation reducing to Newton's theory under the proper limit of slow motions and weak gravity. The rigorousness comes together with incredible geometrical elegance, based however on a more complicated mathematical description, according to which even the two-body problem has no exact solution. Two bodies orbiting each other driven by their mutual gravitational attraction lack the usual conserved dynamical quantities. Indeed, the energy, momentum and angular momentum all decrease in the 2.5th order of the perturbations due to the escaping gravitational radiation, a novel prediction of general relativity. The loss of energy leads to the reduction of the orbital period, the loss of orbital angular momentum leads to the circularization of the quasi-elliptical orbits, while the loss of momentum can induce in certain extreme cases a strong recoil effect of the merged black hole (Bruegmann 2007, Gonzalez et al. 2007a, b), leading even to its ejection from the host galaxy.

Although gravitational waves were not detected directly yet, there is overwhelming indirect evidence for their existence, the first of them being the reduction of orbital period of the

Hulse-Taylor pulsar in precisely the way predicted by general relativity. The first generation of Earth-based interferometric detection devices (LIGO, VIRGO) built to detect gravitational waves emitted by relatively close sources are already operational and the LISA space mission is expected to further increase not only the likelihood to directly detect gravitational waves, but also to deepen our understanding of astrophysical phenomena occurring in the gravitational wave sources. Compact binaries in the latest stages of their merge are among the most important sources for gravitational waves.

After the initial growth of galaxies, their evolution is governed by mergers. As most galaxies have a central black hole, these will also merge. (see Rottmann 2001; Zier & Biermann 2001, 2002; Biermann et al. 2000; Merritt & Ekers 2002; Merritt 2003; Gopal-Krishna et al. 2000, 2003, 2004, 2006; Gopal-Krishna & Wiita 2006; Zier 2005, 2006a, 2006b). At the beginning of this process the galaxies get distorted by their mutual interaction.

The interaction of the central black holes with the already merged stellar environment generates a dynamical friction. This is the leading dissipative effect when the separation of the black holes is between a few parsecs and one hundredth of a parsec. In this process, some of the orbital angular momentum of the binary black hole system is transferred to the stellar environment, such that the stellar population at the poles of the system tends to be ejected and a torus is formed (Zier & Biermann 2001, Zier 2006a). There had been a major worry, that the two black holes stall in their approach to each other (Valtonen 1996, Yu 2003, Merritt 2003, 2005, Milosavljević & Merritt 2003a, b, Makino & Funato 2004, Berczik et al. 2005, 2006, Matsubashi et al. 2007) and they will not get to such an approach, which will let them to significant emission of gravitational waves. According to these worries the loss-cone mechanism for feeding stars into orbits that intersect the binary black holes is too slow. However, recently Zier (2006a) has demonstrated that direct interaction with the surrounding stars slightly further outside speeds up the process. New work by Merritt, Mikkola & Szell (2007) is consistent with Zier (2006a). On the other side, relaxation processes due to cloud/star-star interactions are rather strong, as shown by Alexander (2007), using observations of our galaxy. These interactions repopulate the stellar orbits in the center of the galaxy. Therefore is very likely that no stalling occurs and the compact binary arrives in the regime, where the emission of gravitational radiation has an important impact on the dynamics.

Galactic black holes spin fast. Along their spin axis, a jet is emitted. When two galaxies merge, their mass is rarely comparable, and in consequence the dominance of one spin and the formation of one pair of jets is typical. If the spin axis is reoriented during the merger, a new jet will be formed. This process can be seen in X-shaped radio galaxies (Rottmann 2001, Chirvasa 2001, Biermann et al. 2000, Merritt and Ekers 2002). These contain two pairs of jets, typically at apparent angle which is less than 30 degrees (therefore the real angle is about 45 degrees). One pair of jets has a steep radio spectrum, thus it has not recently been resupplied energetically, it is an old pair of jets. The other pair of jets has a relatively flat radio spectrum (this is the new jet) (Rottmann 2001). The observations thus support the spin-flip model.

Another key observation is, that the analysis of the radio spectrum suggests that the spin of the black hole both before and after the merger is high, more than 95 % of the maximally allowed value (Falcke et al. 1995, Biermann et al. 1995, Falcke and Biermann 1995a, 1995b, 1999, Donea & Biermann 1996, Mahadevan 1998, Gopal-Krishna et al. 2004). This is a major constraint any model explaining the spin-flip phenomenon should obey.

The potential source for the spin-flip is the existence of a much higher orbital angular momentum of the compact binary then the spin at the beginning of the merger, combined with the situation of no orbital angular momentum left after the merger occurred. Obviously in the process of loosing the orbital angular momentum, the spin could have absorbed some of it and as its magnitude can not increase further to much, simply get reoriented.

The gravitational radiation dominated regime of the merging process can be divided into

three phases. The inspiral phase can be well described by analytical (post-Newtonian, PN) techniques. The PN formalism breaks however down close to the innermost stable orbit (ISO) and only a numerical treatment of the plunge phase is possible. Finally, in the ringdown phase the already merged object will loose all characteristics which carry the imprints of its history, with the exception of mass, spin and possibly (although unlikely) also electric charge.

Previous numerical works aimed to explain the spin-flip phenomenon as occurring during the plunge, have succeeded in certain particular mass and initial spin configurations (Campanelli et al. 2007a, b, and references therein), however the merged black hole not always has a high spin as required by observations.

We present here a new approach (Gergely & Biermann 2007), based on the

(a) the galaxy luminosity statistics, which allows us to show that the typical mass ratio of the two supermassive black holes is $\eta = 1/3$ to $\eta = 1/30$.

(b) the analysis of the spin evolution based on the leading order conservative dynamical effect, the spin-orbit interaction; and the leading order dissipative effect, gravitational radiation. This formalism has been worked out a long time ago (Apostolatos et al. 1994), however we exploit here the consequences of the fact that in the typical mass range there are two small parameters in the formalism.

Beside the PN parameter ε , which increases from about 10^{-3} at the time when gravitational radiation overtakes the dynamical friction as the leading dissipative effect to at about 0.1, the second small parameter, the mass ratio η stays constant. The interplay of these two small parameters guarantees that for the typical mass ratio range at the beginning of the inspiral phase the orbital angular momentum dominates over the spin; however at the end of the inspiral there is not much angular momentum left, the spin is the dominant angular momentum. The spin flip has already occurred during the inspiral and will not change significantly during the plunge or ringdown.

2. Typical mass ranges

Lauer et al. (2006) presents the mass distribution of galactic central black holes, basically confirming earlier work (Press & Schechter 1974). This is consistent with a recent observational survey (Ferrarese et al. 2006) and the discussion of Wilson & Colbert (1995). The black hole mass distribution $\Phi_{BH}(M_{BH})$ can be described as a broken powerlaw, from about $m_a \simeq 3 \times 10^6$ solar masses ($M_\odot$) to about $m_b \simeq 3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, with a break near $m_* \simeq 10^8 M_\odot$. The values of m_a , m_b and m_* imply that we have two mass ranges of a factor of 30 each. The masses above $10^8 M_\odot$ are rapidly becoming rare with higher mass.

The mass of the central massive black holes scales with the total mass of a galaxy (the dark matter). As argued by Zier (2006a) the approach of the two black holes does not stall, and each merger of two massive galaxies will also lead to the merger of the two central black holes. Observational evidence suggests that black holes merge on the rather short time scales of active galactic nuclei. We use the merger rate of galaxies as closely equivalent to the merger rate of the central black holes.

The statistics of the mergers arises from the integral giving the number of mergers $N(\eta)$ per volume and time, for any mass ratio η defined to be smaller than unity. This integral is given by the product of the mass distribution of the first black hole with the mass distribution of the second black hole multiplied by a merger rate F . Due to its larger cross-section, the more massive black hole will dominate the merger rate F , so that it can be approximated as a function of $\eta^{-1}m$ alone and the dependence on η can be taken as a power law behavior with $F \sim \eta^{-\xi}$ with $\xi = 1/2$ (Gergely & Biermann 2007). As the black hole mass distribution has a break at $\eta^{-1} = 30$, we use $\Phi_{BH}(m) \sim m^{-\alpha}$ for the first mass range, and $\Phi_{BH}(m) \sim m^{-\beta}$ for the second. For a given η in the range η^{-1} from 1 to 30 the number of mergers scales as

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\eta) &\sim \int_{m_a}^{\eta m_\star} \left(\frac{m}{m_\star}\right)^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{m}{\eta m_\star}\right)^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{m}{\eta m_\star}\right)^\xi dm \\
&+ \int_{\eta m_\star}^{m_\star} \left(\frac{m}{m_\star}\right)^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{m}{\eta m_\star}\right)^{-\beta} \left(\frac{m}{\eta m_\star}\right)^\xi dm \\
&+ \int_{m_\star}^{\eta m_b} \left(\frac{m}{m_\star}\right)^{-\beta} \left(\frac{m}{\eta m_\star}\right)^{-\beta} \left(\frac{m}{\eta m_\star}\right)^\xi dm
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

while for η^{-1} above 30:

$$N(\eta) \sim \int_{m_a}^{\eta m_b} \left(\frac{m}{m_\star}\right)^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{m}{\eta m_\star}\right)^{-\beta} \left(\frac{m}{\eta m_\star}\right)^\xi dm . \tag{2}$$

(We have dropped the most rare encounters of black holes with extremely high masses between $\eta^{-1}m_b$ and m_b .)

According to the models presented in Lauer et al (2006) we take $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 3$. Then the above integrands are monotonically decreasing functions, the integrals being dominated by the lower limits. The four terms then scale with η as $\eta^{\alpha-\xi}$, $\eta^{1-\alpha}$, $\eta^{\beta-\xi}$, $\eta^{\beta-\xi}$. The first term contains small galaxies merging with small galaxies for which the cross section is low. Even so, one can see that the more extreme mass ratios are more common as the distribution of the number of mergers in the mass ratio range 1 : 30 to 1 : 3 versus 1 : 3 to 1 : 1 is approximately 5. For the second term describing massive galaxies merging with smaller galaxies this ratio of mergers in the two mass ratio ranges is about 14. The third term is almost negligible, and the fourth term adds cases to the second term with more extreme mass ratios, above 1 : 30, and so emphasizes the large mass ratio range. We conclude that the most common mass ratio range is 1 : 3 to 1 : 30.

3. The spin-flip

Under the combined effect of spin-orbit precession (at 1.5 PN orders) and the gravitational radiation (at 2.5 PN orders), the direction and magnitude of the dominant spin and orbital angular momentum evolve as (Apostolatos et al. 1994):

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{S}}_1 &= 0 , \quad \dot{\hat{\mathbf{S}}}_1 = \frac{2G}{c^2 r^3} \mathbf{J} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 , \\
\dot{\mathbf{L}} &= -\frac{32G\mu^2}{5r} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2 r}\right)^{5/2} , \quad \dot{\hat{\mathbf{L}}} = \frac{2G}{c^2 r^3} \mathbf{J} \times \hat{\mathbf{L}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Due to the spin-orbit interaction (also discussed in Apostolatos et al. 1994, Kidder 1995, Ryan 1996, Rieth & Schäfer 1997, Gergely et al. 1998a, 1998b, 1998c, O'Connell 2004), both $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{S}_1$ undergo a precessional motion about $\mathbf{J}$. The spin-spin (Kidder et al. 2003, Kidder 1995, Apostolatos 1995, Apostolatos 1996, Gergely 2000a, 2000b), mass quadrupolar (Poisson 1998, Gergely & Keresztes 2003), possible magnetic dipolar (Ioka & Taniguchi 2000, Vasúth et al. 2003), self-spin (Mikóczi et al. 2005) and higher order spin-orbit effects (Faye et al. 2006, Blanchet et al. 2006) can slightly modulate this process.

The total angular momentum $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{L}$ is changed by the emitted gravitational radiation as $\dot{\mathbf{J}} = \dot{\mathbf{L}} + \dot{\mathbf{S}}_1$, thus

$$\dot{\mathbf{J}} = \dot{\mathbf{L}} \left(\hat{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{J}} \right) , \quad \dot{\hat{\mathbf{J}}} = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{L}}}{J} \left[\hat{\mathbf{L}} - \left(\hat{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{J}} \right) \hat{\mathbf{J}} \right] . \tag{4}$$

As the time scale of the gravitational radiation driven orbital shrinking is much higher than the precession time-scale, the first term in $\dot{\hat{\mathbf{J}}}$ is averaged out over one precession. Therefore in these

cases (known as simple precession), in an averaged sense $\mathbf{J}$ changes only along itself, in other words its direction is conserved. The angles $\lambda = \cos^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{J}})$ and $\sigma = \cos^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{J}})$ evolve as

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{\dot{L}}{J} \sin \lambda > 0, \quad \dot{\sigma} = \frac{\dot{L}}{J} \sin \lambda < 0. \quad (5)$$

Therefore during the inspiral $\mathbf{J}$ shrinks but keeps its direction; $\mathbf{L}$ decreases and tilts away from $\mathbf{J}$; while $\mathbf{S}_1$ remains unchanged in magnitude and tilts towards $\mathbf{J}$. As a result, the orbital angular momentum slowly turns away from $\mathbf{J}$, while $\mathbf{S}_1$ slowly approaches the direction of $\mathbf{J}$.

Let us focus on the magnitudes of these vectors. For this we estimate $S_1/L \approx \varepsilon^{1/2} \eta^{-1}$ (Gergely & Biermann 2007). In the domain $\eta = 1/3$ to $1/30$ as the PN parameter evolves from $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$ to 10^{-1} , the situation changes from L being dominant over S_1 to S_1 dominating over L nearby ICO. Therefore we conclude that in these typical cases the spin has tilted close to the conserved direction $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$, while not much orbital momentum has left. In other words, the spin-flip has occurred.

4. Concluding Remarks

The jets of X-shaped radio galaxies, which represent the dominant spin before the merger and the resulting spin after the merger represent the spin directions in the initial and final configuration. We have shown here that the typical mass range when galactic black holes merge is 1 : 3 to 1 : 30. In this range the combined effects of the spin-orbit precession and gravitational radiation result in

- (i.) a shrinking of the orbital angular momentum, from a dominant value at the beginning of the inspiral to a sub-dominant value at the end of the PN regime. This means that in later stages of the merger the orbital angular momentum cannot influence too much the spin evolution.
- (ii.) a precession of the spin vector during this process, which becomes faster as the black holes approach each other. This can be thought as a super-wind sweeping away the base of the old jet, in accordance with observations (Gopal-Krishna et al. 2003, 2006, Gopal-Krishna & Wiita 2006).
- (iii.) a reorientation of the spin vector and of the jet towards the direction towards which the initial orbital angular momentum was pointing. This is occurring in the inspiral phase already and can be discussed through analytical methods.
- (iv.) as the magnitude of the spin is unchanged by the whole process, its value can stay high if it was high before the merger.

In Gergely & Biermann (2007) we show that the super-disk radiogalaxies are plausible candidates for undergoing a spin-flip soon, and afterwards such galaxies are prime candidates to produce very energetic neutrinos and other very high energy particles. Preliminary investigations show that the time scale is rapid enough to produce the clear flip seen in the x-shaped radio galaxies, but more rigorous calculations have to be done in order to quantitatively test this mechanism.

5. Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge intense discussions with Gopal-Krishna and Christian Zier. PLB wishes to acknowledge further discussions with J. Barnes, B. Brügmann, and G. Schäfer. LÁG was supported by OTKA grants no. 46939, 69036 and the János Bolyai Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. Support for PLB is coming from the AUGER membership and theory grant 05 CU 5PD 1/2 via DESY/BMBF. The collaboration between the University of Szeged and the University of Bonn is via an EU Sokrates/Erasmus contract. LÁG wishes to thank the organizers of the Amaldi7 meeting for financial support.

References

- [1] Alexander T, to appear in *2007 STScI spring symposium: Black Holes*, eds, M. Livio & A.M. Koekemoer, Cambridge University Press; arXiv:0708.0688
- [2] Apostolatos TA, Cutler C, Sussman GJ, Thorne KS, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 6274 (1994)
- [3] Apostolatos TA, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 605 (1995)
- [4] Apostolatos TA, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 2438 (1996)
- [5] Berczik P, Meritt D, Spurzem R, *Astrophys. J. Letters* **633**, 680 - 687 (2005)
- [6] Berczik P et al. *Astrophys. J. Letters* **642**, L21 - L24 (2006)
- [7] Biermann PL, Strom RG, Falcke H, *Astron. & Astroph.* **302**, 429 (1995); astro-ph/9508102
- [8] Biermann PL, Chirvasa M, Falcke H, Markoff S, Zier Ch, invited review at the Paris Conference on Cosmology, June 2000, in Proceedings, Eds. N Sanchez, H de Vega, p. 148 - 164 (2005); astro-ph/0211503
- [9] Blanchet L., Buonanno A., Faye G., *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 104034 (2006); Erratum-ibid. **75**, 049903 (2007)
- [10] Bruegmann B et al. (2007), eprint arXiv:0707.0135
- [11] Campanelli M, Lousto CO, Zlochower Y, Merritt D, to appear in *Astrophys. J. Letters* (2007a); gr-qc/0701164
- [12] Campanelli M et al. *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, ms. 0640030 (2007b)
- [13] Donea AC & Biermann PL, *Astron. & Astroph.* **316**, 43 (1996); astro-ph/9602092
- [14] Falcke H & Biermann PL, *Astron. & Astroph.* **293**, 665 (1995a); astro-ph/9411096
- [15] Falcke H, Malkan MA, Biermann P.L., *Astron. & Astroph.* **298**, 375 (1995); astro-ph/9411100
- [16] Falcke H & Biermann PL, *Astron. & Astroph.* **308**, 321 (1995b); astro-ph/9506138
- [17] Falcke H & Biermann, PL, *Astron. & Astroph.* **342**, 49 - 56 (1999); astro-ph/9810226
- [18] Falcke H, Sherwood W, Patnaik AR, *Astrophys. J.* **471**, 106 (1996); astro-ph/9605165
- [19] Faye G, Blanchet L, Buonanno A, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 104033 (2006)
- [20] Ferrarese L et al., *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **164**, 334 (2006)
- [21] Gergely LÁ, Perjés Z., Vasúth M., *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 876 (1998a)
- [22] Gergely LÁ, Perjés Z., Vasúth M., *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 3423 (1998b)
- [23] Gergely LÁ, Perjés Z., Vasúth M., *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 124001 (1998)
- [24] Gergely LÁ, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 024035 (2000a)
- [25] Gergely LÁ, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 024007 b
- [26] Gergely LÁ, Keresztes Z, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 024020 (2003)
- [27] Gergely LÁ, Biermann PL, "The spin-flip phenomenon in supermassive black hole binary mergers", submitted (2007), arXiv:0704.1968
- [28] González J, Sperhake U, Brügmann B, Hannam M, and Husa S, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **98**, 091101 (2007a)
- [29] González JA, Hannam MD, Sperhake U, Bruegmann B, Husa S, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 231101 (2007b)
- [30] Gopal-Krishna, Wiita P.J, *Astrophys. J.* **529**, 189 - 200 (2000)
- [31] Gopal-Krishna, Biermann PL, Wiita PJ, *Astrophys. J. Letters* **594**, L103 - L106 (2003); astro-ph/0308059
- [32] Gopal-Krishna, Biermann PL, Wiita PJ, *Astrophys. J. Letters* **603**, L9 - L12 (2004); astro-ph/0401463
- [33] Gopal-Krishna, Wiita P, Joshi S, *Month. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* submitted (2006)
- [34] Gopal-Krishna, Wiita PJ, invited talk at the 4th Korean Workshop on high energy astrophysics (April 2006), <http://sirius.cnu.ac.kr/kaw4/presentations.htm>
- [35] Ioka K, Taniguchi K, *Astrophys. J.* **537**, 327 - 333 (2000)
- [36] Kidder L, Will C, Wiseman A, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, R4183 (1993)
- [37] Kidder L, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 821 (1995)
- [38] Lauer TR et al, *Astrophys. J.*, submitted (2006); astro-ph/0606739
- [39] Mahadevan R, *Nature* **394**, 651 - 653 (1998)
- [40] Makino J, Funato Y, *Astrophys. J.* **602**, 93 - 102 (2004)
- [41] Matsubashi T, Makino J, Ebisuzaki T, *Astrophys. J.* **656**, 879 - 896 (2007)
- [42] Merritt D & Ekers R, *Science* **297**, 1310-1313 (2002); astro-ph/0208001
- [43] Merritt D, in Proc. *Coevolution of black holes and galaxies*, Cambridge U. Press, Ed. L.C. Ho (in press) (2003), astro-ph/0301257
- [44] Merritt D, Wang J, *Astrophys. J. Letters* **621**, L101 - L104 (2005)
- [45] Merritt D, Mikkola S, Szell A, (2007), ArXiv/0705.2745
- [46] Mikóczi B, Vasúth M, Gergely LÁ, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 124043 (2005)
- [47] Milosavljević M, Merritt D, AIP Proc. (in press), (2003a); astro-ph/0212270
- [48] Milosavljević M, Merritt D, *Astrophys. J.* **596**, 860 - 878 (2003b)
- [49] O'Connell RF, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **93**, 081103 (2004)
- [50] Poisson E, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 5287 (1998)
- [51] Press WH, Schechter P, *Astrophys. J.*, **187**, 425 (1974)
- [52] Rieth R, Schäfer G, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 2357 (1997)
- [53] Rottmann H, PhD thesis: Jet-Reorientation in X-shaped Radio Galaxies, Bonn Univ., 2001:

- (http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/diss_online/math_nat_fak/2001/rothmann_helge/index.htm)
- [54] Valtonen MJ, *Month. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* 278, 186 (1996)
 - [55] Zier Ch, Biermann PL, *Astron. & Astroph.* , **377**, 23 - 43 (2001); astro-ph/0106419
 - [56] Zier Ch, Biermann PL, *Astron. & Astroph.* **396**, 91 (2002); astro-ph/0203359
 - [57] Zier Ch., *Month. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* **364**, 583 (2005); astro-ph/0507129
 - [58] Zier Ch., *Month. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. Lett.* **371**, L36 - L40 (2006a); astro-ph/0605619
 - [59] Zier Ch., "Merging of a massive black hole binary II ", *Month. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* submitted (2006b); astro-ph/0610457
 - [60] Vasúth M, Keresztes Z, Mihály A, Gergely LÁ, *Phys. Rev.* **D 68**, 124006 (2003)
 - [61] Wilson AS, Colbert EJM, *Astrophys. J.* **438**, 62 - 71 (1995)
 - [62] Yu Q, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, S55-S63 (2003)